The Mending of a Clauſe in the Bill relating the Iriſh *Forfeitures, that Cuts off Remainders and Reverſions, expectant on Forfeited Eſtates Intail; Humbly offered to the Conſideration of the Honourable the* Houſe of Commons.

THE Clauſe in the Bill that Cuts off Remainders and Reverſions, Expectant upon Forfeited Eſtates Intail, is ſo worded, that this Caſe may happen; as really it has: The King Seiz'd of an Eſtate, which the Forfeiting Perſon had Intail; the Forfeiting Perſon Died ſome Years ago without Iſſue, whereby the King's Eſtate Determined (being Intitled to no greater Eſtate than the Forfeiting Perſon had): He in Remainder Recovered againſt the King, and has ſince Sold or Settled the Lands on Valuable Conſideration: Yet by this Clauſe the Eſtate that Determined ſo long ſince in the King, is now to Revive and be Reveſted in ~~Him~~ Them; and the Remainder or Reverſion that came in Poſſeſſion, and was after Sold or Settled as aforeſaid, is to be Cut off and Diſcharged. Therefore it is Humbly Hoped, that this Clauſe will be Confined to ſuch Eſtates Intail, now *in Eſſe*, by Virtue whereof the King is Seized or Intitled.

The Clause in ye 1st part of the Bill the Words [illegible] of the person or persons aforesaid word Seized of an estate Tayle or [illegible] possession reverton or remainder the same are hereby exempted [illegible] the Trustees and their heirs in fee simple — It is humbly [illegible] the words possession reverton or remainder & these words may be [illegible] or Grant

1699.

The Mending of a Clauſe, in the Bill relating to *Iriſh* Forfeitures, that Cuts off Remainders and Reverſions expectant on Forfeited Eſtates Intail, Humbly offered to the Conſideration of the Honourable the *Houſe of Commons.*